

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Two Perspectives on Definite Descriptions .....	1
1.1.1 Uniqueness .....	1
1.1.2 Familiarity and Anaphoricity .....	3
1.1.3 Covarying Interpretations of Definites .....	4
1.1.4 Bridging .....	5
1.2 Languages with Two Types of Definite Articles .....	6
1.3 Overview of the Thesis .....	8
<b>2. TWO TYPES OF DEFINITE ARTICLES</b> .....	<b>11</b>
2.1 A Morphological Contrast between Definite Articles in Germanic Dialects .....	11
2.2 Types of Uses of the two Articles .....	21
2.2.1 Hawkins' Classification of Definite Article Uses .....	21
2.2.2 Anaphoric Uses of the Strong Article .....	25
2.2.2.1 Discourse Anaphoric Definites with the Strong Article .....	25
2.2.2.2 Covarying Anaphoric Uses .....	30
2.2.2.3 Demonstrative Uses .....	31
2.2.3 Uniqueness Uses of the Weak Article .....	34

2.2.3.1	The Weak Article and Situational Uniqueness . . . . .	34
2.2.3.2	Covarying Uses of the Weak Article . . . . .	40
2.2.3.3	Apparent Anaphoric Uses of the Weak Article . . . . .	40
2.2.4	Bridging Uses of Definite Descriptions . . . . .	45
2.2.4.1	Bridging with the German Articles . . . . .	48
2.2.4.2	A Questionnaire Study on the Bridging Contrast . . . . .	49
2.2.4.3	Summary . . . . .	59
2.2.5	Other Uses of the Two Definite Articles . . . . .	60
2.2.5.1	Proper Names . . . . .	60
2.2.5.2	Kind Reference . . . . .	60
2.2.5.3	Nominalizations . . . . .	62
2.2.5.4	More on Relative Clauses . . . . .	62
2.2.5.5	Clausal NP complements and Nominal Modifiers . . . . .	65
2.2.5.6	Weak Definites . . . . .	66
2.3	Main Generalizations and Issues . . . . .	68
<b>3.</b>	<b>SITUATION SEMANTICS, DOMAIN RESTRICTION, AND</b>	
	<b>QUANTIFICATION . . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
3.1	Situation Semantics . . . . .	75
3.1.1	Basic Ingredients and Rules of Interpretation . . . . .	76
3.1.2	Situation Pronouns and Topic Situations . . . . .	77
3.1.2.1	Situation Pronouns in Noun Phrases . . . . .	77
3.1.2.2	Austinian Topic Situations . . . . .	86
3.1.3	Type System and Sample Lexical Entries . . . . .	88
3.1.4	Summary . . . . .	93
3.2	Domain Restriction . . . . .	94
3.2.1	Domain Restriction with a <i>C</i> -variable . . . . .	94
3.2.1.1	Domain Restriction Variables in Noun Phrases . . . . .	94
3.2.1.2	The Problem of the Location of the <i>C</i> -variable . . . . .	97
3.2.2	Domain Restriction via Situations . . . . .	102
3.2.2.1	Interpreting Situation Pronouns Relative to the Topic Situation . . . . .	104

3.2.2.2	Interpreting Situation Pronouns Relative to a Contextually Salient Situation .....	105
3.2.2.3	Covarying Interpretations of Quantifier Domains .....	107
3.2.2.4	Additional Motivations for Situational Domain Restriction .....	111
3.2.3	The Location of Situational Domain Restriction .....	112
3.2.3.1	Superlative Adjectives .....	112
3.2.3.2	Comparison Classes .....	114
3.2.3.3	NP Anaphora .....	116
3.2.3.4	Intensional Adjectives .....	117
3.2.3.5	Conclusion .....	118
3.2.4	Summary .....	118
3.3	Issues with Quantifying over Situations .....	119
3.4	Summary .....	125
<b>4.</b>	<b>SITUATIONAL DOMAIN RESTRICTION AND WEAK-ARTICLE DEFINITES .....</b>	<b>126</b>
4.1	Topic Situations, Questions, and Weak-Article Definites .....	126
4.1.1	Deriving Topic Situations From Questions .....	127
4.1.2	Definites and Topic Situations .....	133
4.1.3	Definites and Contextually Supplied Situations .....	144
4.1.4	Part-Whole Bridging .....	148
4.1.5	Summary .....	150
4.2	Questions Under Discussion, Discourse Structure, and Presuppositions .....	151
4.2.1	Questions and Discourse Structure .....	151
4.2.2	Discourse Structure and Situational Domain Restriction .....	154
4.2.3	Presupposition and Accommodation in Situation Semantics .....	158
4.3	Covarying Interpretations of Weak-Article Definites .....	163
4.3.1	Donkey Sentences with Weak-Article Definites .....	163
4.3.2	Transparent Restrictors of Donkey Sentences .....	169
4.4	Summary .....	175
<b>5.</b>	<b>LARGER SITUATION USES .....</b>	<b>177</b>
5.1	The Problem of Larger Situation Uses .....	178
5.2	Presuppositions and Matching Functions in the Nuclear Scope .....	180

5.3	Contextual Matching Functions and Relational Nouns . . . . .	187
5.3.1	The Role of Context for Covarying Interpretations . . . . .	187
5.3.2	A Special Role for Relational Nouns in Domain Restriction? . . . . .	190
5.3.3	Two Mechanisms that Give Rise to Situational Covariation . . . . .	192
5.4	Part-Whole Bridging Generalized . . . . .	198
5.4.1	Part-Whole Bridging Reconsidered . . . . .	199
5.4.2	Type-Shifters for Relational Nouns . . . . .	204
5.4.3	Larger Situation Uses and Part-Structure . . . . .	207
5.4.4	More Properties of Larger Situation Uses . . . . .	212
5.5	Summary . . . . .	220
<b>6.</b>	<b>THE ANAPHORIC NATURE OF THE STRONG ARTICLE . . . . .</b>	<b>222</b>
6.1	What Can the Strong Article Do that the Weak Article Can't? . . . . .	223
6.1.1	Discourse Anaphoric Uses of the Strong Article . . . . .	223
6.1.2	Covarying Interpretations of the Strong Article . . . . .	225
6.1.3	Relational Anaphora . . . . .	229
6.1.4	Summary . . . . .	236
6.2	An Anaphoric Analysis of Strong-Article Definites . . . . .	236
6.2.1	Encoding Anaphoricity in Definites . . . . .	236
6.2.2	Building Anaphoricity into the Strong Article . . . . .	241
6.2.3	Extending the Account to Relational Anaphora . . . . .	249
6.2.4	Covarying Interpretations via Dynamic Binding . . . . .	255
6.3	Strong-Article Definites without Antecedents? . . . . .	258
6.4	Remaining Issues Concerning the Distribution of the Articles . . . . .	263
6.4.1	Expected and Observed Overlap in Distribution . . . . .	263
6.4.2	Restrictive Relative Clauses . . . . .	267
6.5	Summary . . . . .	269
<b>7.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION . . . . .</b>	<b>271</b>
7.1	Directions for Future Work . . . . .	271
7.1.1	The Typology of Definites . . . . .	271
7.1.2	Anaphoricity and Domain Restriction . . . . .	273
7.2	Conclusion . . . . .	274

7.2.1	Summary .....	274
7.2.2	Theoretical Desiderata .....	276
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	.....	<b>279</b>